UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,815 06/04/2001		Egidio Lavorgna JR.	60709-00017	4578
John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Sq. St. Louis, MO 63102		•	EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3629	
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·		09/873,815	LAVORGNA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tan Dean D. Nguyen	3629	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)☐ 3)☐	Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 2-6,8-13,39-45,47-57 and 59-64 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-6,8-13,39-45,47-57 and 59-64 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. e rejected. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/873,815 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/07 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 3/29/07 has been entered. Claims 2-6, 8-13, 39-45, 47-57 and 59-64 are pending and rejected as followed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims <u>39</u>, 2-6, 8-13 (method), <u>40</u>-45, 47-51 (system), <u>52</u>-57, 59-63 (apparatus), and <u>64</u> (product) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, as being <u>indefinite</u> for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As of 10/31/07, independent method claim 39 is as followed:

39. (currently amended) A method for managing business metrics for a business entity using a server system coupled to a database and at least one client system, the business entity having a plurality of business units, said method comprising the steps of:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/873,815

Art Unit: 3629

(a) storing in the database a plurality of web pages including a create template web page;

- (b) prompting a first user to create a customized template for receiving business metrics by displaying at the at least one client system the create template web page, the create template web page prompts the first user to enter data including at least one of a template name, a frequency, a first due date, a contact name, and a template description, the create template web page prompts the first user to define data fields to be displayed on the customized template and a type of business metrics to be entered into the customized template, the customized template is stored within the database for retrieval by a second user of the at least one client system for inputting business metrics;
- c) prompting the first user to link a metric target with a <u>first</u> data field displayed on the customized template, wherein, the mettle target is at least one of a level and a weight;
- (d) creating at least one hierarchy of entities within the business entity including storing within the database a relationship between each of the business units included within the business entity;
- (e) linking the customized template to the at least one hierarchy of entities for restricting access to the customized template to only selected business units included within the business entity;

(f) linking the data fields included within the customized template to the at least one hierarchy of entities for combining data for a plurality of selected business units included within the business entity;

- (g) displaying the customized template at the at least one client system to prompt the second user to enter data about business mettles relating a business unit linked to the customized template, the second user enters the data about_business mettles into the data fields displayed on the customized template including the first data field having the metric target assigned thereto;
 - (h) storing the data about the business mettles in the database;
- (i) analyzing the data about the business metrics stored in the database using the server system for generating reports including the data about the business mettles; and
- (j) generating, using the server, a plurality of reports including a first report showing the data about the business metrics for each business unit linked to the customized template including each business unit having entered data and the hierarchical relationship between each business unit, and a second report containing desired combinations of the data about the business metrics entered into linked data fields for each business unit linked to the customized template based on the hierarchy of entities.

Note: for convenience, letters (a)-(j) are added to the beginning of each step by the examiner.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/873,815

Art Unit: 3629

(1) In independent method claim <u>39</u>, it's not clear whether the "customized template" of step (g) is of (derived from) step (b) or (e) or (f)?

(2) In independent method claim <u>39</u>, it's not clear whether the "hierarchy of entities" in the last step refer to all entities of first user and second user or 2nd user alone?

customized template" of step (g) is of (derived from) step (b) or (e) or (f)?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3629

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims <u>39</u>, 2-6, 8-13 (method), <u>40</u>-45, 47-51 (system), <u>52</u>-57, 59-63 (apparatus), and <u>64</u> (product) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (1) PLANALP ET AL.

As for independent method claim 39, PLANALP ET AL fairly teaches a method for managing business metrics for a business entity using a server system coupled to a database and at least one client system, the business entity having a plurality of business units, said method comprising the steps of:

- (a) storing in the database a plurality of web pages including a create template web page {see Fig. 3};
- (b) prompting a first user to create a customized template for receiving business metrics by displaying at the at least one client system the create template web page, the create template web page prompts the first user to enter data including at least one of a template name, a frequency, a first due date, a contact name, and a template description, the create template web page prompts the first user to define data fields to be displayed on the customized template and a type of business metrics to be entered into the customized template, the customized template is stored within the database for retrieval by a second user of the at least one client system for inputting business metrics; {see Figs. 1, 10, especially 17, cols. 2-3}

Application/Control Number: 09/873,815 Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

c) prompting the first user to link a metric target with a <u>first</u> data field displayed on the customized template, wherein, the mettle target is at least one of a business target measuring parameter, "LSL", or "LTGT", or "Target", or "USGT", or "USL" {see Fig. 17, elements 850, 852, col. 15, lines 10-45};

- (d) creating at least one hierarchy of entities within the business entity including storing within the database a relationship between each of the business units included within the business entity; {see 4A, or 4B}
- (e) linking the customized template to the at least one hierarchy of entities for restricting access to the customized template to only selected business units included within the business entity; {see Figs. 8, 10, col. 15}
- (f) linking the data fields included within the customized template to the at least one hierarchy of entities for combining data for a plurality of selected business units included within the business entity; {see Figs. 11, 17, col. 15}
- (g) displaying the customized template at the at least one client system to prompt the second user to enter data about business mettles relating a business unit linked to the customized template, the second user enters the data about_business mettles into the data fields displayed on the customized template including the first data field having the metric target assigned thereto; {see Figs. 11, 17, 18}
 - (h) storing the data about the business mettles in the database; {see Figs. 3}
- (i) analyzing the data about the business metrics stored in the database using the server system for generating reports including the data about the business mettles; and {see Figs. 15, 17, 18}

Art Unit: 3629

(j) generating, using the server, a plurality of reports including a first report showing the data about the business metrics for each business unit linked to the customized template including each business unit having entered data and the hierarchical relationship between each business unit, and a second report containing desired combinations of the data about the business metrics entered into linked data fields for each business unit linked to the customized template based on the hierarchy of entities. {see Figs. 15, 17}.

PLANALP ET AL fairly teaches claimed 39 except for the name or title or "unit measure" of the metric target in (c) of "a level" or "weight" or relative term. However, in view of the general teachings of target, lower and upper limits with respect to the target which indicates "level" or "weight" or "relative term" with respect to the "target", the use of other similar relative measuring terms such as "level" or "weight" would have been obvious to a skilled artisan as mere using other similar business metric measuring terms to achieve similar monitoring results, absent evidence of unexpected results.

As for dep. claims 2, 4-6, 8-12 (part of 39 above), which deal with parameters for creating a customized template using a 1st user or limitations with respect to steps (a)-(f), these do not further limit the claim scope of managing business metrics for a business entity using a server system coupled to a database and at least one client system using a 2nd user, and therefore, they have no patentable weight. Only the captured data (or entering data) by the 2nd user as shown by steps (g)-(j) that are entered on the customized template will receive patentable weight since they meet the scope of the claim which require data to be captured/entered and analyzed.

Art Unit: 3629

Alternatively, as for **dep. claim 2**, which deals with well known template creating/customizing parameters, i.e. having a calculation formula, this is non-essential to the scope of the claimed invention and is taught in PLANALP ET AL Figs. 10-17.

Alternatively, as for dep. claim 3 (part of 39 above), which deal with well known data analysis parameters, i.e. performing at least 1 mathematical computation and generating a trend analysis, this is taught in Fig. 15, element 812, Fig. 19

Alternatively, as for dep. claim 4 (part of 39 above), which deal with well known template creating/customizing parameters, i.e. assign a definition to each data field, this is non-essential to the scope of the claimed invention and is taught in Figs. 10-17.

Alternatively, as for dep. claim 5 (part of 39 above), which deal with well known template creating/customizing parameters, i.e. creating a data field for validating a business metrics, this is non-essential to the scope of the claimed invention and is taught in Figs. 10-17.

Alternatively, as for dep. claim 6 (part of 39 above), which deal with well known template creating/customizing parameters, i.e. a drop-down box or list for entering data, this is non-essential to the scope of the claimed invention and is taught in Figs. 10-17.

Alternatively, as for dep. claims 8-9 (part of 39 above), which deal with well known template creating/customizing parameters, i.e. linking of an data to other data or field or other elements, these are non-essential to the scope of the claimed invention and are taught in Figs. 10-17, cols. 2-3.

Alternatively, as for dep. claims 10-13 (part of 39 above), which deal with well known template creating/customizing parameters, i.e. features such as editing,

Art Unit: 3629

changing, modifying, etc., these are non-essential to the scope of the claimed invention and are taught in Figs. 10-17, cols. 7-8, 15, Figs. 30-32.

As for Independent system claim <u>40</u> and independent apparatus claim <u>52</u>, which are basically the system to carry out the independent method of claim <u>39</u> as shown above, they are rejected over the system of PLANALP ET AL, see Fig. 3, to carry out the rejections of the method claim <u>39</u> as indicated above. Alternatively, the setting up a computer system to carry out the respective computer implemented method would have been obvious to a skilled artisan.

As for dep. claim 41-45, 47-51, 53-57, 59-63, which have similar limitation as in dep. claims 2, 4-6, 8-12 above, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejections of dep. claims 2, 4-6, 8-12 above.

As for Independent computer program product claim <u>64</u>, which is basically the computer program product to carry out the independent method of claim <u>39</u> as shown above, they are rejected over the computer program product of PLANALP ET AL, Fig. 3, to carry out the rejections of the method claim 39 as indicated above.

Alternatively, the setting up a computer program product to carry out the respective computer implemented method would have been obvious to a skilled artisan.

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 3629.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct@uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

In receiving an Office Action, it becomes apparent that certain documents are missing, e. g. copies of references, Forms PTO 1449, PTO-892, etc., requests for copies should be directed to Tech Center 3600 Customer Service at (703) 306-5771, or e-mail CustomerService3600@uspto.gov

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application should be directed to <u>Dean Tan Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-2053</u> or (571) 272-6806 (by April 15, 2005). My work schedule is normally Monday through Friday from 7:00 am - 4:00 pm. I am scheduled to be off every other Friday.

Should I be unavailable during my normal working hours, my supervisor John Weiss may be reached at (703) 308-2702. The <u>FAX phone</u> numbers for formal communications concerning this application are <u>(703) 872-9306</u>. My personal Fax is <u>(703) 872-9674</u>. Informal communications may be made, following a telephone call to the examiner, by an informal FAX number to be given.

dtn January 22, 2008

PRIMARY EXAMINER